1	Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)		
	Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862)		
2	Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427)		
3	William D. Pollak (SBN 293654)		
	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP		
4	1600 El Camino Real		
_ ا	Menlo Park, California 94025		
5	Telephone: (650) 752-2000		
6	Facsimile: (650) 752-2111		
7	Emmet P. Ong ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP		
8	450 Lexington Avenue		
0	New York, New York 10017		
9	Telephone: (212) 450-4000		
	Facsimile: (212) 701-5800		
10	, ,		
11	Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporat		
	(n/k/a Innolux Corporation) and Chi Mei Optoelec		
12	USA, Inc. (n/k/a Innolux Optoelectronics USA, Inc.	)	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16			
17	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST	MDL NO. 3:07-md-01827-SI	
18	LITIGATION		
101	LITIONTION	CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03802-SI	
	LITIONTION	CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03802-SI	
19	This Document Relates to	CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03802-SI	
19		CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03802-SI	
	This Document Relates to	CASE NO. 3:12-cv-03802-SI	
19	This Document Relates to		
19	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI	[P <del>ROPOSE</del> D] REQUEST FOR	
19 20 21 22	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,		
19 20 21 22 23	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,  v.	[PROPOSED] REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER OF REQUEST) REGARDING PROVIEW	
19   220   221   222   223   224   3	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER OF	
19 20 21 22 23	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,  v.	[PROPOSED] REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER OF REQUEST) REGARDING PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO.,	
119   220   221   222   223   224   225   225	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,  v.  AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION et al.,	[PROPOSED] REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER OF REQUEST) REGARDING PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO.,	
19   220   221   222   223   224   3	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,  v.  AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION et al.,	[PROPOSED] REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER OF REQUEST) REGARDING PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.	
119   220   221   222   223   224   225   225	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:12-cv-03802-SI  PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  Plaintiff,  v.  AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION et al.,	[PROPOSED] REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE (LETTER OF REQUEST) REGARDING PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.	

Susan Illston, Senior District Judge, present their compliments to the Appropriate Judicial

Authority of the People's Republic of China ("China") and issue this letter of request (the

"Request") for international judicial assistance to obtain evidence to be used in a civil proceeding

2345

6

7

8

1

## I. <u>REQUEST</u>

before this Court in the above-captioned matter.

9

10 11

13

12

14

15

16 17

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26

2728

The Court requests that the Appropriate Judicial Authority of China request that the following corporate entity, Proview Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. ("Proview Technology Shenzhen"), whose address follows below, produce (1) the documents described in Exhibit A to this Request and (2) a witness to testify at a deposition regarding the matter described in Exhibit B to this Request.

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California and the Honorable

Proview Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. 23 Shataukok Free Trade Zone, North Block 21 Shenzhen, Guangdong Province People's Republic of China

The Court requests such assistance as is necessary in the interest of justice. Furthermore, the Court understands the confidential nature of the documents requested from Proview Technology Shenzhen and thus advises the Appropriate Judicial Authority of China that any documents produced in connection with this Request are subject to the confidentiality order that is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

## II. FACTS

Plaintiff Proview Technology, Inc. ("Proview") instituted the above-captioned civil proceeding in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Defendants for the purpose of recovering damages that were allegedly caused by Defendants' conspiracy to fix prices for thin film transistor liquid crystal display ("TFT-LCD") panels and products. Defendants' conspiracy allegedly began on or before January 1, 1996, and lasted through December 11, 2006. The names and addresses of the parties to this proceeding and their representatives are included in Exhibit D, which is attached hereto.

1	
2	e
3	Т
4	iı
5	Γ
6	iı
7	
8	r
9	Τ
10	p
11	p
12	S
13	P
14	I
15	
16	p
17	S
18	I
19	
20	R
21	J
22	c
23	1
24	
~ ~ l	

In particular, Proview alleges that Defendants sold TFT-LCD panels to various original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") in Asia affiliated with Proview, including Proview echnology Shenzhen, at artificially high prices. Proview further alleges that such OEMs, ncluding Proview Technology Shenzhen, then incorporated panels that had been purchased from Defendants into finished TFT-LCD products, which Proview purchased and resold to customers n the United States.

Accordingly, it appears that Proview Technology Shenzhen possesses information of elevance to this litigation, including (1) the quantity of TFT-LCD panels that Proview echnology Shenzhen purchased from Defendants on behalf of Proview, (2) the costs of such surchases, (3) the prices at which Proview Technology Shenzhen sold TFT-LCD finished products to Proview, (4) the quantity of TFT-LCD products that Proview Technology Shenzhen old to Proview, and (5) the names of the companies that supplied the TFT-LCD panels to Proview Technology Shenzhen.

#### II. OFFER OF RECIPROCAL ASSISTANCE

The United States District Court for the Northern District of California is willing to provide to the Judicial Authorities of China assistance similar to the type of assistance that is the subject of this Request. See 28 U.S.C. § 1782.

#### **REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS** V.

If there are any costs associated with the provision of assistance that is the subject of this Request, it will be the responsibility of the attorneys for Defendants to reimburse the Appropriate udicial Authority of China for any such costs. Please direct any correspondence or communications concerning such costs to Neal A. Potischman, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, 600 El Camino Real, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States of America.

26 27

Date: 1/25/15

Suran Illaton



United States District Court Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue 19th Floor, Room 10 San Francisco, California 94102 United States of America



# EXHIBIT A

2

## 3

## 5

6

## 7 8

10

9

12 13

11

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

2526

28

27

## Exhibit A

## **Requests for the Production of Documents**

## Proview Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.

## **INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. In producing documents and other materials, you are requested to furnish all documents or things in your possession, custody or control, regardless of whether such documents or materials are possessed directly by you or your directors, officers, partners, members, agents, employees, representatives, subsidiaries, managing agents, affiliates, investigators, or by your attorneys or their agents, employees, representatives, or investigators.
- 2. If any requested document is not or cannot be produced in full, produce it to the extent possible, indicating which document, or portion of that document, is being withheld, and the reason why that document, or portion of that document, is being withheld.
- 3. In producing documents, you are requested to produce each document requested together with all nonidentical copies and drafts of that document. If the original of any document cannot be located, a copy shall be provided in lieu thereof, and shall be legible and bound or stapled in the same manner as the original.
  - 4. Documents shall be produced as they are kept in the usual course of business.
  - 5. Documents attached to each other should not be separated.
- 6. Documents not otherwise responsive to the following requests for production (the "Requests") shall be produced if such documents mention, discuss, refer to, or explain the documents that are called for by the Requests, or if such documents are attached to documents called for by the Requests and constitute routing slips, transmittal memoranda, or letters, comments, evaluations, or similar materials.
- 7. To the extent that you withhold the production of documents pursuant to a claim of attorney-client privilege or attorney work product protection, please prepare and produce a "privilege log," which shall include the following information, to the extent that providing such

information will not destroy the purported privilege or protection: (1) the name of the document custodian; (2) beginning and end Bates stamp numbers for each document and for each attachment to same; (3) the date of the document; (4) the name(s) of all persons authoring or receiving the document; (5) a brief description of the subject matter of the document; (6) whether the document contains redactions or has been withheld in its entirety; (7) the nature of the privilege or protection claimed; (8) a brief statement as to why, under the law, the document is privileged or protected, including whether the primary purpose of the document was to seek or provide legal advice or services; and (9) the number of pages contained in the document.

8. The obligation to respond to these Requests for production is continuing. If at any time after responding to these Requests for production you discover additional information that will make your responses to these Requests for production more complete or correct, supplement or correct your responses as soon as reasonably possible.

## **DEFINITIONS**

- The words and phrases used in these Requests shall have the meanings ascribed to them under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In addition, the following terms have the meanings set forth below whenever used in any Request.
- 2. "Bill-to Location" means the address of the entity to which the bill for a product is sent.
- 3. "Communicate" or "Communication" means, without limitation, the transmittal of information (in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries or otherwise) between individuals or companies, whether oral, written, electronic, or otherwise, and whether direct or through an intermediary.
- 4. "Complaint" means the Third Amended Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief, Dkt. 44, filed on June 7, 2013, by Proview Technology, Inc. ("Proview") in <u>In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation</u>, Case No. 071827 SI, MDL No. 1827, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

analyzing, describing, constituting, evidencing, containing, disclosing, or supporting the

"Concerning" means discussing, relating to, contradicting, referring to, reflecting,

5.

referenced matter.

- 6. "Document" and "Documents" shall include, without limitation, the following items, whether handwritten, printed, recorded, filmed, or produced by any mechanical or electronic process, whether or not asserted to be privileged or immune to discovery, and whether a master, original, or copy: agreements; communications; correspondence; cablegrams; telegrams; telexes and telecopies; electronic mail or "e-mail"; electronic texts and instant messages; notes and memoranda; summaries and minutes of conferences; summaries and records of personal conversations or interviews; books, manuals, and publications; calendars and diaries; computer tapes, cards, or disks; marginal notations appearing on any document; charts, graphs, or graphics; and any other paper or other medium containing information in your possession, custody, or control. "Document" or "documents" shall also mean all electronically stored information ("ESI"), including, without limitation, electronic data or data compilations, electronic files, e-mail, and other electronic communications saved to or located on hard disks, file servers, floppy disks, CDs, DVDs, backup tapes, thumb drives, or any other electronic media, whether or not in tangible or electronic form.
- 7. The term "Person" or "Persons" includes any natural person, governmental entity, public entity, partnership, corporation, association, firm, trust, joint venture, agency, department, board, authority, commission, or other such entity.
- 8. "Relevant Period" means the period from January 1, 1996, through December 11, 2006.
  - 9. "Ship-to Location" means the address to which an item is delivered.
- 10. "TFT-LCD Panel" refers to "TFT-LCD Panel" as described in paragraphs 62 and 63 of the Complaint.
- 11. "TFT-LCD Product" means a television, monitor, or laptop computer in which a TFT-LCD Panel is a key component.

1	12. "You" or "Your" refers to Proview Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., and any		
2	agents, employees, representatives and other persons or entities acting, or authorized to act, on it		
3	behalf.		
4	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS		
5	REQUEST NO. 1.		
6	Documents sufficient to show Your principal place of business and country of		
7	incorporation from January 1, 1999, to December 6, 2012.		
8	REQUEST NO. 2.		
9	All Documents Concerning Your distribution chain relating to TFT-LCD Panels and		
10	TFT-LCD Products, from the purchase of TFT-LCD Panels, to the manufacture of TFT-LCD		
11	Products, to the sale of those TFT-LCD Products, to the resale of those TFT-LCD Products.		
12	REQUEST NO. 3.		
13	All agreements, contracts, memoranda of understanding, or any other Document relating		
14	to Your acquisition of TFT-LCD Panels or sale of TFT-LCD Products, including all exclusive		
15	contracts, cost-plus contracts, and most-favored-nation contracts and purchase order		
16	acknowledgments, as well as representative purchase orders and invoices.		
17	REQUEST NO. 4.		
18	For the period from January 1, 1994 through December 31, 2009, transactional data,		
19	information, and Documents sufficient to show Your acquisition of any TFT-LCD Panels,		
20	including Documents evidencing:		
21	a. the date You acquired each TFT-LCD Panel;		
22	b. the place You acquired each TFT-LCD Panel, including the specific entity		
23	that shipped the TFT-LCD Panel, and the physical location from which the		
24	TFT-LCD Panel was shipped to You;		
25	c. the Person or entity from whom You acquired each TFT-LCD Panel;		
26	d. the Ship-to Location and Bill-to Location for each TFT-LCD Panel;		
27	e. the type of each TFT-LCD Panel;		
28			

1	f.	the size of each TFT-LCD Panel;
2	g.	the technology used in each TFT-LCD Panel;
3	h.	the manufacturer of each TFT-LCD Panel;
4	i.	the intended use for each TFT-LCD Panel (for example, for incorporation
5 into an TFT-LCD Product or		into an TFT-LCD Product or for resale);
6	j. the application of each TFT-LCD Panel;	
7	k.	the quantity of each acquisition;
8	1.	the list price or negotiated price of each TFT-LCD Panel;
9	m.	the before-tax net price of each TFT-LCD Panel, including any store or
10		manufacturer discounts, coupons, rebates, refunds, dividends, or other
11		price adjustments;
12	n.	any taxes, customs, tariffs, duties, or other fees You paid on each TFT-
13		LCD Panel;
14	о.	all terms and conditions that were part of each acquisition of any TFT-
15		LCD Panel, including any rebates, below-cost pricing, most-favored-
16		nation pricing, negotiable pricing, sale pricing, or loss-leader pricing;
17	p.	any and all freight charges associated with each TFT-LCD Panel,
18		including the freight terms (e.g., FOB, CIF, etc.) and shipping destination
19		agreed upon with the seller;
20	q.	whether each TFT-LCD Panel was acquired as part of a system or other
21		bundled product and, if so, the value of each component of such systems
22		or bundled products; and
23	r.	all tracking numbers, model numbers, or other information used to identify
24		each TFT-LCD Panel.
25	REQUEST NO. 5.	
26	For the perio	od from January 1, 1994, through December 31, 2009, transactional data,
27	information, and Do	ocuments sufficient to show the initial purchase or acquisition of any TFT-
28		

## Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 9385 Filed 01/29/15 Page 11 of 51

1	LCD Panel that was made by a third-party system integrator, contract manufacturer, or some		
2	other Person or entity on Your behalf or at Your direction, including Documents evidencing:		
3	a.	the date You acquired each TFT-LCD Panel;	
4	b.	the place You acquired each TFT-LCD Panel, including the specific entity	
5		that shipped the TFT-LCD Panel, and the physical location from which the	
6		TFT-LCD Panel was shipped to You;	
7	c.	the Person or entity from whom You acquired each TFT-LCD Panel;	
8	d.	the Ship-to Location and Bill-to Location for each TFT-LCD Panel;	
9	e.	the type of each TFT-LCD Panel;	
10	f.	the size of each TFT-LCD Panel;	
11	g.	the technology used in each TFT-LCD Panel;	
12	h.	the manufacturer of each TFT-LCD Panel;	
13	i.	the intended use for each TFT-LCD Panel (for example, for incorporation	
14		into an TFT-LCD Product or for resale);	
15	j.	the application of each TFT-LCD Panel;	
16	k.	the quantity of each acquisition;	
17	1.	the list price or negotiated price of each TFT-LCD Panel;	
18	m.	the before-tax net price of each TFT-LCD Panel, including any store or	
19		manufacturer discounts, coupons, rebates, refunds, dividends, or other	
20		price adjustments;	
21	n.	any taxes, customs, tariffs, duties, or other fees You paid on each TFT-	
22		LCD Panel;	
23	о.	all terms and conditions that were part of each acquisition of any TFT-	
24		LCD Panel, including any rebates, below-cost pricing, most-favored-	
25		nation pricing, negotiable pricing, sale pricing, or loss-leader pricing;	
26			
27			
28			

1	p.	any and all freight charges associated with each TFT-LCD Panel,
2		including the freight terms (e.g., FOB, CIF) and shipping destination
3		agreed upon with the seller;
4	q.	whether each TFT-LCD Panel was acquired as part of a system or other
5		bundled product and, if so, the value of each component of such systems
6		or bundled products; and
7	r.	all tracking numbers, model numbers, or other information used to identify
8		each TFT-LCD Panel.
9	REQUEST NO. 6.	
10	For the period	from January 1, 1994, through December 31, 2009, transactional data,
11	information, and Doc	cuments sufficient to show any sale by You of any TFT-LCD Product,
12	including Documents	s evidencing:
13	a.	the date of the sale;
14	b.	the place You sold each TFT-LCD Product, including the specific entity
15		that shipped each TFT-LCD Product, and the physical location from
16		which each TFT-LCD Product was shipped or sold;
17	c.	the Person or entity to whom You sold the TFT-LCD Product;
18	d.	the Ship-to Location and Bill-to Location for the sale;
19	e.	the type of each TFT-LCD Panel contained in the TFT-LCD Product;
20	f.	the size of each TFT-LCD Panel contained in the TFT-LCD Product;
21	g.	the technology used in each TFT-LCD Panel in the TFT-LCD Product;
22	h.	the manufacturer of each TFT-LCD Panel contained in the TFT-LCD
23		Product;
24	i.	the intended use for each TFT-LCD Product;
25	j.	the quantity of each sale;
26	k.	the list price or negotiated price of each TFT-LCD Product;
27		
28		

1	1.	the before-tax net price of each TFT-LCD Product sold, including any
2	store or manufacturer discounts, coupons, rebates, refunds, dividence	
3	3 other price adjustments;	
4	m. the Cost to You of each TFT-LCD Product You sold, on an item?	
5		including logistics costs (e.g., transportation costs) as well as the
6		methodology and any assumptions used to calculate these costs;
7	n.	any taxes, customs, tariffs, duties, or other fees You paid on each sale;
8	0.	all terms and conditions that were part of each sale by You, including any
9		rebates, below-cost pricing, most-favored-nation pricing, negotiable
10		pricing, sale pricing, or loss-leader pricing;
11	p.	any and all freight charges associated with each sale, including the freight
12		terms (e.g., FOB, CIF) and shipping destination agreed upon with the
13		seller;
14	q.	whether each TFT-LCD Product was sold as part of a system or other
15		bundled product (e.g., an TFT-LCD monitor purchased in conjunction
16		with a CPU) and, if so, the value of each component of such systems or
17		bundled products; and
18	r.	copies of all receipts, invoices, wire transfer records, or other similar
19		Documents evidencing each sale; and
20	S.	all tracking numbers, model numbers, or other information used to identify
21		each TFT-LCD Product.
22	REQUEST NO. 7.	
23	Documents su	afficient to link, trace, or otherwise establish a relationship between each
24	acquisition of any TF	T-LCD Panels identified in Documents produced in response to Request
25	Nos. 5 and 6 and each	h sale by You of any TFT-LCD Products identified in Documents produced
26	in response to Reque	st No. 7.
27		
28		

## **REQUEST NO. 8.**

For each electronic data file produced in response to Request Nos. 5 through 8, Documents sufficient to (a) describe the contents of the data file, (b) define all data fields (i.e., variables) and terms contained in the data, (c) describe any relationship(s) between the data file and other data files produced, and (d) identify Persons most knowledgeable of the operation and contents of the data file.

## **REQUEST NO. 9.**

Documents sufficient to show the meaning of all model codes and other codes stated in any data produced in response to Request Nos. 5 through 8. For TFT-LCD Panels, such documentation should include files that contain the characteristics of the TFT-LCD Panel associated with each model code, including the size, resolution, pixel configuration, video display standard (e.g., XGA, WSXGA), application (e.g., industrial automation and information products, including controllers and industrial monitors), grade, luminance, viewing angle, contrast ratio, response time, and mode of panel (e.g., twisted nematic, in-plane switching). For TFT-LCD Products, such documentation should include characteristics of the TFT-LCD Panel associated with the TFT-LCD Product, as described above, as well as any other characteristics of the TFT-LCD Product (e.g., the inclusion of a camera, music player, or keyboard if the TFT-LCD Product is a mobile device).

## **REQUEST NO. 10.**

Documents Concerning Your policies and procedures for inventory management of purchases or acquisitions of TFT-LCD Panels, including the location(s) of any inventory warehouses and the procedure by which You managed that inventory, and where and when You took title to product.

## **REQUEST NO. 11.**

All distribution agreements between You and any wholesale distributor that distributed TFT-LCD Products for You.

## REQUEST NO. 12.

All Documents Concerning revenue, costs, profitability and margins (on a monthly, quarterly, and yearly basis) for all TFT-LCD Products You sold, used, manufactured, distributed or supplied during the Relevant Period.

## **REQUEST NO. 13.**

Without limitation as to time, all Documents summarizing, describing, or relating to any suspicion or belief by You or any other Person or entity that any manufacturer or seller of TFT-LCD Panels or TFT-LCD Products was engaged in any anticompetitive conduct relating to TFT-LCD Panels or TFT-LCD Products.

## **REQUEST NO. 14.**

Without limitation as to time, all Documents summarizing, describing, or relating to the circumstances under which You first became aware of the facts alleged in the Complaint, including, without limitation, any Documents summarizing, describing, or relating to what caused You to become aware of those facts.

## **REQUEST NO. 15.**

Documents Concerning the identity and location of each entity that owns or operates each facility where TFT-LCD Products were assembled for or by You.

## **REQUEST NO. 16.**

Documents Concerning the current contact information for all Your former or current employees who had responsibilities regarding the acquisition of TFT-LCD Panels or sale of TFT-LCD Products, including name, former position, telephone number, mail address, email address, and, if represented in this matter, attorney and attorney's contact information.

## **REQUEST NO. 17.**

All Documents evidencing the relationship between You, Proview Technology, Inc., Proview Group (Labuan) Ltd., Proview Optronics (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., Proview Electronics Co., Ltd., Essex Monitor (H.K.) Company, Ltd., or Proview Technology (Wuhan) Co., Ltd., and any of their respective predecessor or successor entities, including, but not limited to, the dates of

## Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 9385 Filed 01/29/15 Page 16 of 51

any combinations, mergers, stock acquisitions, divestitures, spin-offs, sales or other transactions, and the identities of all such predecessors or successors. 

# EXHIBIT B

1	Exhibit B
2	Matter on Which Examination Is Requested
3	Proview Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
4	
5	MATTER ON WHICH EXAMINATION IS REQUESTED
6	EXAMINATION MATTER NO. 1:
7	The substance of the documents and materials requested in Exhibit A to this Request for
8	International Judicial Assistance.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	1

# EXHIBIT C

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

) No.: M-07-1827 S

In Re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL NO. 1827

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS.

No.: M-07-1827 SI

MDL NO. 1827

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

## 1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS.

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action may involve production of trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, within the meaning of Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(c); or other private or competitively sensitive information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation would be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the Court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled under the applicable legal principles to confidential treatment. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 10, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order creates no entitlement to file confidential information under seal. Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

advise a Party in this action.

- 2.10 <u>In House Legal Personnel</u>: attorneys and other personnel employed by a Party to perform legal functions who are responsible for overseeing this litigation for the Party.
- 2.11 <u>Counsel (without qualifier)</u>: Outside Counsel and In House Legal Personnel (as well as their support staffs, including but not limited to attorneys, paralegals, secretaries, law clerks, and investigators).
- 2.12 Expert and/or Consultant: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation, along with his or her employees and support personnel, who has been retained by a Party or its Counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action, and who is not currently an employee, nor has been an employee within four years of the date of entry of this Order, of a Party or of a TFT-LCD business unit of a non-party, and who, at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or of a TFT-LCD business unit of a non-party. This definition includes a professional jury or trial consultant retained in connection with this litigation.
- 2.13 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying; videotaping; translating; preparing exhibits or demonstrations; organizing, storing, retrieving data in any form or medium; *etc.*) and their employees and subcontractors.

#### 3. SCOPE.

The protections conferred by this Stipulated Protective Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations thereof, plus testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or Counsel in settings that might reveal Protected Material. However, this Order shall not be construed to cause any Counsel to produce, return, and/or destroy their own attorney work product, or the work product of their co-counsel.

#### 4. DURATION.

The confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until the Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or this Court orders otherwise.

## Casp Dats 2:07-0/16-271-3527-5Do Doro ente + 10-821 Filled 112/07/12/007 ag Page 47of 27

## 5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL.

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection.

Each Party or non-party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards and avoid indiscriminate designations.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all, or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Designating Party must promptly notify all Receiving Parties that it is withdrawing or changing the mistaken designation.

Manner and Timing of Designations. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., section 5.2(b), below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, should a Producing Party discover that it produced material that was not designated as Protected Material or that it produced material that was designated as Protected Material but had designated that Protected Material in the incorrect category of Protected Material, the Producing Party may notify all Parties, in writing, of the error and identifying (by bates number or other individually identifiable information) the affected documents and their new designation or re-designation. Thereafter, the material so designated or re-designated will be treated as Protected Material. Promptly after providing such notice, the Producing Party shall provide re-labeled copies of the material to each Receiving Party reflecting the change in designation. The Receiving Party will replace the incorrectly designated material with the newly designated materials and will destroy the incorrectly designated materials.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

(a) for information in documentary form (apart from transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" on each page that contains protected material.

(b) <u>for testimony given in deposition</u>, that a Party, or a non-party that sponsors, offers, gives, or elicits the testimony, designate any portion of the testimony as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," either on the record before the deposition is concluded, or in writing on or before the later of (i) fourteen days after the final transcript is received or (ii) the date by which any review by the witness and corrections to the transcript are to be completed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e). Only those portions of the testimony that are designated for protection in accordance with the preceding sentence shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order. The entire testimony shall be deemed to have been designated Highly Confidential until the time within which the transcript may be designated has elapsed. If testimony is not designated within the prescribed time period, then such testimony shall not be deemed Confidential or Highly Confidential except as ordered by the Court.

Transcript pages containing Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter, who must affix to each such page the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," as instructed by the Party or nonparty sponsoring, offering, giving or eliciting the witness' testimony.

- (c) for information produced in electronic or video format, and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL."
- 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. If material is re-designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" after the material was initially produced, the Receiving Party, upon notification of the designation, must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

5

6.1 confidentiality deduction is discontinuous designation designation is discontinuous designation designat

Parties or Non-Parties. Subject to the standards of paragraph 5.1, a Party may increase the designation (i.e., change any Disclosure or Discovery Material produced without a designation to a designation of "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" or designate any Disclosure or Discovery Material produced as "CONFIDENTIAL" to a designation of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL") of any Discovery Material produced by any other Party or non-Party, provided that said Discovery Material contains the upward Designating Party's own Confidential or Highly Confidential Information. Any such increase in the designation of a document shall be made within 90 days of the date of its production, unless good cause is shown for a later increase in the designation.

Increasing a designation shall be accomplished by providing written notice to all Parties identifying (by bates number or other individually identifiable information) the Disclosure or Discovery Material whose designation is to be increased. Promptly after providing such notice, the upward Designating Party shall provide re-labeled copies of the material to each Receiving Party reflecting the change in designation. The Receiving Party will replace the incorrectly designated material with the newly designated materials and will destroy the incorrectly designated materials. Any Party may object to the increased designation of Disclosure or Discovery Materials pursuant to the procedures set forth in paragraph 6 regarding challenging designations. The upward Designating Party shall bear the burden of establishing the basis for the increased designation.

## 6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS.

- 6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. A Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. A Party that elects to initiate a challenge to a

  Designating Party's confidentiality designation must do so in good faith and must begin the
  process by notifying the Designating Party in writing, by telephone or in person of its challenge
  and identify the challenged material, then conferring directly in voice to voice dialogue (other

forms of communication are not sufficient) with counsel for the Designating Party. The Parties must then meet and confer in good faith. Each Party must explain the basis for its respective position about the propriety of the challenged confidentiality designations. The parties shall have fourteen (14) days from the initial notification of a challenge to complete this meet and confer process.

6.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u>. In any judicial proceeding challenging a confidentiality designation, the burden of persuasion with respect to the propriety of the confidentiality designation shall remain upon the Designating Party. If the parties are not able to resolve a dispute about a confidentiality designation within the time provided in paragraph 6.2, above, the parties shall, within fourteen (14) days thereafter, prepare and present to the Special Master a joint letter brief that identifies the challenged material and sets forth the respective positions of the parties about the propriety of the challenged confidentiality designations. Until the ruling on the dispute becomes final pursuant to the provisions of Pre-Trial Order No. 4, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Designating Party's designation.

In the event that the final ruling is that the challenged material is not confidential or that its designation should be changed, the Designating Party shall reproduce copies of all materials with their designations removed or changed in accordance with the ruling within thirty (30) days at the expense of the Designating Party.

## 7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL.

7.1 <u>Basic Principles.</u> A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by a Producing Party only in connection with this action for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this action. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 11, below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized

under this Order. For purposes of this Order, a secure website, or other internet-based document depository with adequate security, shall be deemed a secure location.

- 7.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to:
  - (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation;
  - (b) current or former officers, directors, and employees of Parties to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement To Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
  - (c) Experts and/or Consultants with respect to each of whom (1) disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, and (2) an "Agreement To Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A) has been signed;
    - (d) the Court and its personnel;
  - (e) stenographers, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement To Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
  - (f) the author, addressees, or recipients of the document, or any other natural person who would have likely reviewed such document during his or her employment as a result of the substantive nature of his or her employment position, or who is specifically identified in the document, or whose conduct is purported to be specifically identified in the document;
  - (g) witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement To Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A); provided that, Confidential Information may be disclosed to a witness during their deposition, but only if they have executed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A), which shall be made an exhibit to the deposition

transcript, or have agreed on the record to keep the information confidential and not to use it for any purpose, or have been ordered to do so; and provided further that, pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Confidential Information must be marked "Confidential" and separately bound by the court reporter and not included in the main deposition transcript and exhibit binder, and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order; and

- (h) any other person to whom the Designating Party agrees in writing or on the record, and any other person to whom the Court compels access to the Confidential Information.
- 7.3 <u>Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items.</u>

  Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a

  Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "HIGHLY

  CONFIDENTIAL" only to:
  - (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of record in this action, as well as employees of said counsel to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation;
  - (b) Experts and/or Consultants with respect to each of whom (1) disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, and (2) an "Agreement To Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A) has been signed;
    - (c) the Court and its personnel;
  - (d) stenographers, their staffs, and professional vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A);
  - (e) the author, addressees or recipients of the document, or any other natural person who would have likely reviewed such document during his or her employment as a result of the substantive nature of his or her employment position, or who is specifically identified in the document, or whose conduct is purported to be specifically identified in the document;

- deposition witnesses but only during their depositions and only if they (f) have executed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A), which shall be made an exhibit to the deposition transcript, or have agreed on the record to keep the information confidential and not to use it for any purpose, or have been ordered to do so; and in addition, if the witness is an employee of a Party or is a former employee of a Party, then In House Legal Personnel of the Party in attendance at the deposition of such a witness, may also be present during that portion of the deposition but only if the In House Legal Personnel has signed the "Agreement to Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A); provided that, pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Highly Confidential Information must be marked "Highly Confidential" and separately bound by the court reporter and not included in the main deposition transcript and exhibit binder, and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order; and provided, further that, the parties will meet and confer if the Designating Party believes a particular document requires different treatment for use at deposition; and
- (g) any other person to whom the Designating Party agrees in writing or on the record, and any other person to whom the Court compels access to the Highly Confidential Information.
- 7.4 <u>Retention of Exhibit A.</u> Outside Counsel for the Party that obtains the signed "Agreements To Be Bound by Protective Order" (Exhibit A), as required above, shall retain them for one year following the final termination of this action, including any appeals, and shall make them available to other Parties upon good cause shown.
- 7.5 <u>Retention of Protected Material</u>. Persons who have been shown Protected Material pursuant to Section 7.2(b), (f), or (g), or Section 7.3(e) or (f) shall not retain copies of such Protected Material.

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

## 8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION.

If a Receiving Party is served with a discovery request, subpoena or an order issued in other litigation that would compel disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," the Receiving Party must so notify the Designating Party, in writing (by fax or electronic mail, if possible), along with a copy of the discovery request, subpoena or order, as soon as reasonably practicable.

The Receiving Party also must immediately inform the party who caused the discovery request, subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all the material covered by the subpoena or order is the subject of this Protective Order. In addition, the Receiving Party must deliver a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order promptly to the party in the other action that caused the discovery request, subpoena or order to issue.

The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Stipulated Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interest in the court from which the discovery request, subpoena or order is issued. The Designating Party shall bear the burdens and the expenses of seeking protection in that court of its confidential or highly confidential material. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

## 9. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL.

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement To Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

## 10. FILING PROTECTED MATERIAL.

Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5.

#### 11. FINAL DISPOSITION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless otherwise ordered or agreed in writing by the Producing Party, within thirty days after the final termination of this action, including any appeals, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party. As used in this subdivision, "Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other form of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. The Receiving Party may destroy some or all of the Protected Material instead of returning it. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the thirty day deadline that identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and that affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or other forms of reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence or attorney work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION), above.

#### 12. INADVERTENTLY PRODUCED DOCUMENTS.

If a Party at any time notifies any other Party that it inadvertently produced documents, testimony, information, and/or things that are protected from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity from disclosure, or the Receiving Party discovers such inadvertent production, the inadvertent production shall not be deemed a waiver of the applicable privilege or protection.

1 | The 2 | info 3 | any 4 | (3) | 5 | any 6 | Rec

The Receiving Party shall immediately return all copies of such documents, testimony, information and/or things to the inadvertently producing Party and shall not use such items for any purpose until further order of the Court. In all events, such return must occur within three (3) business days of receipt of notice or discovery of the inadvertent production. The return of any discovery item to the inadvertently producing Party shall not in any way preclude the Receiving Party from moving the Court for a ruling that the document or thing was never privileged.

### 13. ATTORNEY RENDERING ADVICE

Nothing in this Protective Order will bar or otherwise restrict an attorney from rendering advice to his or her client with respect to this matter or from relying upon or generally referring to "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" Disclosure or Discovery Material in rendering such advice; provided however, that in rendering such advice or in otherwise communicating with his or her client, the attorney shall not reveal or disclose the specific content thereof if such disclosure is not otherwise permitted under this Protective Order.

#### 14. DISPOSITIVE MOTION HEARINGS AND TRIAL

The terms of this Protective Order shall govern in all circumstances except for presentations of evidence and argument at hearings on dispositive motions and at trial. The parties shall meet and confer in advance of such proceedings and seek the guidance of the Court as to appropriate procedures to govern such proceedings.

#### 15. MISCELLANEOUS.

- 15.1 <u>Right to Further Relief.</u> Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the Court in the future.
- Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 2 PEARSON, SIMON, SOTER, WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP 3 4 By: 5 Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241) 6 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94104 7 Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008 8 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser 9 **Plaintiffs** 10 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 11 12 Richard M. Heimann 13 Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) 14 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 15 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 16 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser 17 **Plaintiffs** 18 19 GIRARD GIBBS, LLP 20 21 Elizabeth C. Pritzker 22 Elizabeth C. Pritzker (State Bar No. 146267) 23 601 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94108 24 Telephone: (415) 981-4800 Facsimile: (415) 981-4846 25 Interim Liaison Counsel for the Direct Purchaser 26 **Plaintiffs** 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

## CaseDistse7:67-016-271-527-5Do Doroente+10821 Filled 12/07/2707 age 25 of 27 1 ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL MASON & **GETTE LLP** 2 3 4 Francis O. Scarpulla (State Bar No. 41059) 5 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104 6 Telephone: (415) 693-0700 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770 7 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser 8 **Plaintiffs** 9 ALIOTO LAW FIRM 10 11 12 13 Joseph M. Alioto (State Bar No. 42680) 14 555 California Street, Suite 3160 San Francisco, CA 94104 15 Telephone: (415) 434-8900 Facsimile: (415) 434-9200 16 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser 17 **Plaintiffs** 18 MINAMI TAMAKI LLP 19 20 21 Jack W. Lee 22 Jack W. Lee (State Bar No. 71626) 360 Post Street, 8th Floor 23 San Francisco, California 94108 24 Telephone: (415) 788-0204 Facsimile: (415) 398-3887 25 Interim Liaison Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser 26 **Plaintiffs** 27 28

Case 3 077-mol + 0118277-53 | Door meent t 9 3 6 8 | File d 003 / 125 / 125 | Peropet 5 4 o f 2 5 1

## Case(307/mtH018277-53| Domumentt9388 Filed(03/129/1125 Prage: 65:05251 Case(36/2:67-01/6271-53) - Domumentt9388 Filed(03/129/1125 Prage: 65:05251 Filed(12/07/2007) - Page: 65:05251 Filed(12/07/2007) - Filed(12/07/2007) - Page: 65:05251 Filed(12/07/2007) - Filed(12/07/200

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 1 2 3 4 Albert J. Boro, Jr. (State Bar No. 126657) 5 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105 6 Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200 7 Attorneys for Defendants Sharp Corporation and 8 Sharp Electronics Corporation 9 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP 10 11 Bv: 12 Michael Healy 13 Michael Healy (State Bar No. 95098) One Market Plaza 14 Steuart Tower, 8th Floor 15 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 781-7900 16 Pax: (415) 781-2635 17 Attorneys for Defendant AU Optronics Corporation America 18 · 19 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLÞ 20 21 By: Steven F. Cherry 22 23 Steven F. Cherry (pro hac vice) 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 24 Washington, DC 20006 Tel: (202) 663-6000 25 (202) 663-6363 Fax: 26 Attorneys for Defendants Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., and Nexgen Mediatech USA, 27 Inc. 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

## Case(307/mtH018327/-53| Domumentt9368 Filed(031/125/1125 Prage) 3606251 Case(1362-67-016-271-5327-5Doctorente+0821 Filed(12/07/2707) Page agent 270f 27

1		SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
2		
3		By: Midel + Haly
4		Michael Healy
		Michael Healy (State Bar No. 95098)
5		One Market Plaza Steuart Tower, 8th Floor
6		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 781-7900
7		Fax: (415) 781-2635
8	·	Attorneys for Defendant
9		AU Optronics Corporation America
10	·	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR
11		LLP
12		•
13		By: Steven F. Cherry
14		Steven F. Cherry (pro hac vice) 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
15		Washington, DC 20006 Tel: (202) 663-6000
16		Fax: (202) 663-6363
17	·	Attorneys for Defendants Chi Mei Optoelectronics
18		USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., and Nexgen
19		Mediatech USA, Inc. PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
20		
		By:
21		Kevin C. McCann
22		Kevin C. McCann (State Bar No. 120874)
23		55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
24		Tel: (415) 856-7000
25		Fax: (415) 856-7100
26		Attorneys for Defendants Epson Electronics America, Inc. and Epson Imaging Devices Corporation
27		
28		
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	17
ĺ	SHEOLATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	

Case(307/mt)+018227-53| Domumentt9368 Filed(021/125/1125 Prage) 8706251
Case(3687:67-016221-5317-5Documents+10821 Filed(12/07/0707) Page 2661876 27

1	SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP
2	
3	By:
4	Michael Healy
5	Michael Healy (State Bar No. 95098) One Market Plaza
6	Steuart Tower, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
	Tel: (415) 781-7900
7	Fax: (415) 781-2635
8	Attorneys for Defendant AU Optronics Corporation America
9	Ao Optionics Corporation America
10	WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORI
.11	LLP
12	Bu Stone I Chenne
13	By: My Steven F. Chepty
14	Steven F. Cherry (pro hac vice)
15	1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006
16	Tel: (202) 663-6000
17	Fax: (202) 663-6363
18	Attorneys for Defendants Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., and Nexgen
	Mediatech USA, Inc. PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
19	TAOD, TAOTHAS, JANOTSKI & WALKER LEI
20	P
21	By: Kevin C. McCann
22	Kevin C. McCann (State Bar No. 120874)
23	55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
24	<sup>r</sup> Tel: (415) 856-7000
25	Fax: (415) 856-7100
26	Attorneys for Defendants Epson Electronics America, Inc. and Epson Imaging Devices Corporation
27	
28	
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

### Case 3077-mtH01182277-531 Domcumentt9388 Filed 0031/123/1125 Pragge198 b 2 3 1 Case 3 12/107/1270 Page 198 b 2 3 1

1 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 2 3 4 Kevin C. McCann (State Bar No. 120874) 5 55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 6 Tel: (415) 856-7000 Fax: (415) 856-7100 Attorneys for Defendants Epson Electronics America. 8 Inc. and Epson Imaging Devices Corporation 9 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 10 11 By: 12 Kent M. Roger 13 Kent M. Roger (State Bar No. 95987) One Market 14 Spear Street Tower 15 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel.: (415) 442-1000 16 Fax: (415) 442-1001 17 Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc., Hitachi, Ltd., and Hitachi Displays, Ltd. 18 19 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 20 21 By: Lawrence R. Desideri 22 Lawrence R. Desideri (pro hac vice) 23 35 W. Wacker Drive 24 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Tel: (312) 558-7883 25 Fax: (312) 558-5700 26 Attorneys for Defendant LG Electronics USA, Inc. 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 2 3 By: Kevin C. McCann 4 Kevin C. McCann (State Bar No. 120874) 5 55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 6 Tel: (415) 856-7000 Fax: (415) 856-7100 7 Attorneys for Defendants Epson Electronics America, 8 Inc. and Epson Imaging Devices Corporation 9 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 10 11 12 13 Kent M. Roger (State Bar No. 95987) 14 One Market Spear Street Tower 15 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel.: (415) 442-1000 16 Fax: (415) 442-1001 17 Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc., Hitachi, Ltd., and Hitachi Displays, Ltd. 18 19 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 20 21 By: Lawrence R. Desideri 22 Lawrence R. Desideri (pro hac vice) 23 35 W. Wacker Drive 24 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Tel: (312) 558-7883 25 Fax: (312) 558-5700 26 Attorneys for Defendant LG Electronics USA, Inc. 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	F	PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
2		
3	l I	Ву:
4		Kevin C. McCann
5	5	Kevin C. McCann (State Bar No. 120874) 55 Second Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
6	1	Tel: (415) 856-7000
7	II I	Fax: (415) 856-7100
8		Attorneys for Defendants Epson Electronics America, Inc. and Epson Imaging Devices Corporation
9		•
10	II P	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
11		•
12		By:
13		Kent M. Roger
14		Kent M. Roger (State Bar No. 95987) One Market
15		Spear Street Tower
		San Francisco, CA 94105 rel.: (415) 442-1000
16	ii I	Fax: (415) 442-1001
17		Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi Electronic Devices
18		(USA), Inc., Hitachi, Ltd., and Hitachi Displays, Ltd.
19		WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
20		
21	-	By: Mill My
22		Michael P. Mayer
23	I	Lawrence R. Desideri (pro hac vice)
24	[] 1 3	Michael P. Mayer ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 35 W. Wacker Drive
25		Chicago, Illinois 60601
	11	Γel: (312) 558-7883 <sup>3</sup> ax: (312) 558-5700
26		Attorneys for Defendant
27		G Electronics USA, Inc.
28		: 
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	17

## CaseCMS07-mtH0188277-SSI Domumentt9368 Filed021/125/1125 Prage22106251 CaseCMS03:67-016271-S17-SDoCoroente40821 Filed112/07/2707-page220e227of 27

		<del>dictive</del> que p
1		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2		
3		By: Kent M. Roger
4		Kent M. Roger (State Bar No. 95987)
5		One Market
6		Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105
7	·	Tel.: (415) 442-1000
8		Fax: (415) 442-1001
9		Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc., Hitachi, Ltd., and Hitachi Displays, Ltd.
10		WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
11.		WHID1011 00 01141 W. 1 222
12		D.v.
13		By:  Lawrence R. Desideri
14		Lawrence R. Desideri (pro hac vice)
15		35 W. Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601
		Tel: (312) 558-7883
16		Fax: (312) 558-5700
17		Attorneys for Defendant LG Electronics USA, Inc.
18		20 Modromos Cort, mo.
19		CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
20		
21		By: Michael R. Jasrusta Sto
22		Michael R. Lazerwitz
23		Michael R. Lazerwitz (pro hac vice)
24		2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006
25		Tel.: (202) 974-1680 Fax: (202) 974 1999
26		. ,
27		Attorneys for Defendants LG.Philips LCD America, Inc. and LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.
28		
20		18
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
J	1	

Case 307-mod 01827-51 Domment 9368 Filed 021/25/1125 Page 2320 6251 Case 25-67-016-271-527-50 coverus 40821 Filed 12/07/2707 Page 236 e 227 f 27

1	·	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
2		
3		By:
4		Michael R. Lazerwitz
5		Michael R. Lazerwitz ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
6		Washington, DC 20006
7		Tel.: (202) 974-1680 Fax: (202) 974 1999
8		Attorneys for Defendants LG.Philips LCD America, Inc. and LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.
9		and E.G.1 imps E.G.D. Co., Etc.
10		DUANE MORRIS LLP
11		
12		By: Jeff & Capaco George D. Niespolo
13	·	
14		George D. Niespolo (State Bar No. 72107) One Market Street
15		Spear Tower, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
16		Tel.: (415) 957-3013
17		Fax: (415) 957-3001
		Attorneys for Defendants NEC Electronics America, Inc.
18		SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
19		
20	·	By:
21	·	James L. McGinnis
22		James L. McGinnis (State Bar No. 95788)
23		4 Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
24		Tel: (415) 434-9100
25		Fax: (415) 434-3947
26	·	Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and Samsung
27		Electronics Co., Ltd.
28		
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	18

### Case(307/mtH001827/-55| Domcumentt9368 Filed(03/129/1125 Prage(243)6251 Case(368-7:67-018-271-55) Coordinate(1821 Filed(12/07/27)07-2828-3-67-018-271-55)

1	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
2	
3	Ву:
4	Michael R. Lazerwitz
5	Michael R. Lazerwitz ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
6	Washington, DC 20006 Tel.: (202) 974-1680
7	Fax: (202) 974-1080
8	Attorneys for Defendants LG.Philips LCD America, Incand LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.
9	
10	DUANE MORRIS LLP
11	
12·	By: George D. Niespolo
13	George D. Niespolo (State Bar No. 72107)
14	One Market Street
15	Spear Tower, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
16	Tel.: (415) 957-3013 Fax: (415) 957-3001
17	Attorneys for Defendants NEC Electronics America, Inc
18	Authory's for Defendants 1120 Decenomes 1 interior, 220
19	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
20	
21	By:
	James L. McGinnis
22	James L. McGinnis (State Bar No. 95788) 4 Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
23	San Francisco, CA 94111-4109
24	Tel: (415) 434-9100 Fax: (415) 434-3947
25	Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics America
26	Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
27	
28	18
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
- 1	

1 WHITE & CASE LLP 2 3 4 Wayne A. Cross (pro hac vice) 5 1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 6 Tel: (212) 819-8200 Fax: (212) 354-8113 7 Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba America Electronic 8 Components, Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., Toshiba Corporation, and Toshiba 9 Matsushita Display Technology Co., Ltd. 10 11 SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 12 13 By: Garrard R. Beeney 14 Garrard R. Beeney (pro hac vice) 15 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 16 Tel: (212) 558-4000 Fax: (212) 558-3588 17 Attorneys for Defendant 18 Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. 19 20 21 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 DATED: 25 Hon. Susan Illston United States District Judge 26 27 28 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	1 WHITE & CAS	E LLP
2	2	
3	3 <u>By:</u>	W A C
4		Wayne A. Cross
5	1100 111011110 01	the Americas
6	New York, NY Tel: (212)	10036 ) 819-8200
7	7 Fax: (212)	354-8113
8	Components, In	efendants Toshiba America Electronic c., Toshiba America Information oshiba Corporation, and Toshiba
9	Matsushita Disp	osinoa Corporation, and Tosinoa olay Technology Co., Ltd.
10		
11		CROMWELL LLP
12 13	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	raid P. Beeney / not
14		Garrard R. Beeney
15	Garrard R. Beer	ney (pro hac vice)
16	New York, NY	10004
17	Fax: (212)	) 558-4000 ) 558-3588
18	Attorneys for D	
19	Koninkijke Pin	lips Electronics N.V.
20		•
21		
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDER	OT
23		v \
24	4 1 3/19/12	i A C
25	DATED: OIT	Hon. Susan Illston
26	6	United States District Judge
27	7	
28	8	
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER	

1	EXHIBIT A		
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND		
3	I, [print full name], of		
4	[print or type full address],		
5	declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that I have read		
6	in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United		
7	States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, in the		
8	case of In Re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION, No.: M-07-1827 SI,		
9	MDL No. 1827.		
10	I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated		
11	Protective Order, and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me		
12	to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not		
13	disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective		
14	Order to any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.		
15	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court		
16	for the Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated		
17	Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.		
18	Date:		
19			
20	City and State (or Country) where sworn and signed:		
21	Drinted name		
22	Printed name:		
23	Signature:		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER		

# **EXHIBIT D**

2

#### Names and Addresses

**Exhibit D** 

of Parties and Representatives 3 4 **PARTIES** REPRESENTATIVES 5 **Plaintiff** Proview Technology, Inc. Richard M. Heimann 6 7373 Hunt Avenue Brendan P. Glackin 7 Garden Grove, California 92841 Eric B. Fastiff United States of America Marc Pilotin 8 Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP 275 Battery St., 29th Floor 9 San Francisco, California 94111-3339 United States of America 10 11 Christopher I. Brain Kim D. Stephens 12 Chase C. Alvord Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC 13 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101 14 United States of America 15 Mark D. Baute 16 Sean A. Andrade Baute Crochetiere & Gilford LLP 17 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4900 Los Angeles, California 90017 18 United States of America 19 **Defendants** 20 **AU Optronics Corporation** Carl L. Blumenstein 21 No. 1, Li-Hsin Rd. 2 Nossaman LLP Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 30078 50 California Street, 34th Floor 22 Taiwan San Francisco, California 94111 United States of America 23 AU Optronics Corporation America, 24 Carl L. Blumenstein Inc. Nossaman LLP 25 9720 Cypresswood Drive, Suite 241 50 California Street, 34th Floor Houston, Texas 77070 San Francisco, California 94111 26 United States of America United States of America

1	PARTIES	REPRESENTATIVES
2	Chi Mei Corporation	Christopher B. Hockett
3	No. 11-2, Jen Te 4th St.	Neal A. Potischman Sandra West Neukom
	Jen Te Village Jen Te, Tainan 717	Samantha H. Knox
4	Taiwan	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
5		1600 El Camino Real
6		Menlo Park, California 94025 United States of America
		Cinica States of Timerion
7		Emmet P. Ong
8		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP 450 Lexington Avenue
9		New York, New York 10017
10		United States of America
10	Chimei Innolux Corp.	Christopher B. Hockett
11	No. 160 Kesyue Rd., Chu-Nan Site	Neal A. Potischman
12	Hsinchu Science Park Chu-Nan, Miao- Li	Sandra West Neukom Samantha H. Knox
13	Taiwan	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
		1600 El Camino Real
14		Menlo Park, California 94025 United States of America
15		Officed States of America
16		Emmet P. Ong
17		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP 450 Lexington Ave.
1 /		New York, New York 10017
18		United States of America
19	Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.	Christopher B. Hockett
20	101 Metro Drive, Suite 510	Neal A. Potischman
	San Jose, California 95110	Sandra West Neukom
21	United States of America	Samantha H. Knox Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
22		1600 El Camino Real
23		Menlo Park, California 94025
		United States of America
24		Emmet P. Ong
25		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
26		450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017
27		United States of America
28		

1	PARTIES	REPRESENTATIVES
2	CMO Japan Co. Ltd.	Christopher B. Hockett
3	Nansei Yaesu Bldg. 4F 2-2-10 Yaesu	Neal A. Potischman Sandra West Neukom
	Chuo-Ku, Tokyo 104-0028	Samantha H. Knox
4	Japan	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
5		1600 El Camino Real Menlo Park, California 94025
6		United States of America
7		Emmet P. Ong
8		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
		450 Lexington Avenue
9		New York, New York 10017 United States of America
10		Cinica States of Timerica
11	Nexgen Meditech, Inc.	Christopher B. Hockett Neal A. Potischman
12	No. 11-2, Jen Te 4th St. Jen Te Village	Sandra West Neukom
12	Jen Te, Tainan 717	Samantha H. Knox
13	Taiwan	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP 1600 El Camino Real
14		Menlo Park, California 94025
15		United States of America
		Emmet P. Ong
16		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
17		450 Lexington Avenue
18		New York, New York 10017 United States of America
19		
	Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc. 16712 East Johnson Drive	Christopher B. Hockett Neal A. Potischman
20	City of Industry, California 91745	Sandra West Neukom
21	United States of America	Samantha H. Knox
22		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP 1600 El Camino Real
23		Menlo Park, California 94025
24		United States of America
		Emmet P. Ong
25		Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
26		450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017
27		United States of America
28		

#### Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 9385 Filed 01/29/15 Page 51 of 51

1	PARTIES	REPRESENTATIVES	
2	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Robert D. Wick	
3	Samsung Main Building 250-2 ga Taepyung-ro Chung-gu, Seoul	Covington & Burling LLP One City Center	
4	Republic of Korea	850 Tenth Street, NW	
5		Washington, District of Columbia 20001-4956 United States of America	
6	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Robert D. Wick	
7	105 Challenger Road Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660	Covington & Burling LLP One City Center	
8	United States of America	850 Tenth Street, NW	
9		Washington, District of Columbia 20001-4956 United States of America	
10	Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.	Robert D. Wick	
11	3655 North First Street San Jose, California 95134	Covington & Burling LLP One City Center	
12	United States of America	850 Tenth Street, NW	
13		Washington, District of Columbia 20001-4956 United States of America	
14			
15			
16			
17			